

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/833,702	SEOK ET AL.	
	Examiner Pramila Parthasarathy	Art Unit 2136	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

(1) Pramila Parthasarathy. (3) _____.

(2) Yoon Ham. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 May 2006
Time: 2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No


If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
1, 5, 8 and 12
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested adding the limitation "and producing a signal having an imbedding of the watermark" to Claim1. Applicant agreed and authorized the Examiner to amend the claim.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)